

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/771,887	HESCH, ROLF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Bartell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

inquired as to the status of the amendment after final. Indicated that the amendment was going to be entered and that the examiner was maintaining the rejections previously made as well as the restriction requirement.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)